

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,913	10/031,913 05/21/2002		Jose Castillo Deniega	IFLOW.063NP 2831	
20995	7590	07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE M	IARTEN	S OLSON & BEA	HAN, M	HAN, MARK K	
2040 MAIN S	STREET				
FOURTEENT	TH FLOO	R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE CA 92614				3767	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/031,913	DENIEGA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark K. Han	3767			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 M	arch 2006.				
,	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 🖂	☑ Claim(s) 18-28 and 73-81 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>18-28 and 73-81</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaction requirement				
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 May 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Oπice	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	-	ed in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ad.			
·	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	su.			
Attachmen 1) Notice	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 3/16/06.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/031,913

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 18, 19, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,021,044 to Sharkawy.

Sharkawy discloses a catheter having an elongated support, a porous membrane 16, non-porous membrane 11 and guidewire lumen (unnumbered). See Figures 1-3 and col. 3, lines 43-52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 20, 21, 23, 24, 26-28 and 73-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharkawy.

Sharkawy discloses the claimed invention as shown above. Sharkawy, however, does not disclose a set of ribs between the support and porous membrane in the embodiment referred above. Sharkawy discloses a set of ribs 26 in a different embodiment as shown in Figure 4. It

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Sharkawy by including the ribs as disclosed by Sharkawy in a different embodiment, in order to provide a more uniform delivery of fluids.

Sharkawy discloses the claimed invention as shown above. Sharkawy, however, does not disclose expressly a pore diameter of less than 0.23 microns. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to decrease the pore diameter of Sharkawy because Applicant has not disclosed that a pore diameter of less than 0.23 microns provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the pore size of Sharkawy because both would provide an efficient means of delivering fluid. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Sharkawy to obtain the invention as specified in claims 28 and 81.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18-28 and 73-81 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark K. Han whose telephone number is 571-272-4958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9 am to 5:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,913 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on 571-272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark K. Han
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3767

mkh June 25, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

KEVIN C. SIRMONS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

KEVIN C. SIRMONS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER